

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - Summary

Filed for the January 1, 2016 through June 30, 2016 Period

Name of Successor Agency: Coronado
 Name of County: San Diego

Current Period Requested Funding for Outstanding Debt or Obligation		Six-Month Total
Enforceable Obligations Funded with Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) Funding		
A Sources (B+C+D):		\$ -
B Bond Proceeds Funding (ROPS Detail)		-
C Reserve Balance Funding (ROPS Detail)		-
D Other Funding (ROPS Detail)		-
E Enforceable Obligations Funded with RPTTF Funding (F+G):		\$ 343,957,208
F Non-Administrative Costs (ROPS Detail)		343,716,208
G Administrative Costs (ROPS Detail)		241,000
H Total Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E):		\$ 343,957,208

Successor Agency Self-Reported Prior Period Adjustment to Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding		
I Enforceable Obligations funded with RPTTF (E):		343,957,208
J Less Prior Period Adjustment (Report of Prior Period Adjustments Column S)		(1,774,876)
K Adjusted Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding (I-J)		\$ 342,182,332

County Auditor Controller Reported Prior Period Adjustment to Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding		
L Enforceable Obligations funded with RPTTF (E):		343,957,208
M Less Prior Period Adjustment (Report of Prior Period Adjustments Column AA)		-
N Adjusted Current Period RPTTF Requested Funding (L-M)		343,957,208

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:
 Pursuant to Section 34177 (m) of the Health and Safety code, I
 hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized
 Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named agency.

_____	_____
Name	Title
/s/ _____	_____
Signature	Date

Coronado Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - ROPS Detail
January 1, 2016 through June 30, 2016
 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K					P	
										Funding Source						
										Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (Non-RPTTF)			RPTTF			
										Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	Non-Admin	Admin		Six-Month Total
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Retired							
								\$ 879,364,361			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 343,716,208	\$ 241,000	\$ 343,957,208
1	1996 TAB Debt Service	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	4/17/1996	9/1/2017	U.S. Bank	Advance refunding of 1990 and 1992	Coronado	3,220,000	N							\$ -
2	2000 TAB Debt Service	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	4/5/2000	9/1/2026	U.S. Bank	Financing for redevelopment activities	Coronado	4,754,758	N				94,836			\$ 94,836
3	2003 TAB Debt Service - Non Housing	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	4/24/2003	9/1/2034	U.S. Bank	Bonds were used for the acquisition and construction of school improvements and capital projects	Coronado	34,756,168	N				477,055			\$ 477,055
4	2003 TAB Debt Service - Housing	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	4/24/2003	9/1/2034	U.S. Bank	Financing for Affordable Housing Development	Coronado	14,519,547	N				212,322			\$ 212,322
5	2005 TAB Debt Service	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	11/10/2005	9/1/2035	U.S. Bank	Financing for redevelopment activities	Coronado	83,310,187	N				1,261,228			\$ 1,261,228
6	2006 TAB Debt Service	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	5/31/2006	9/1/2030	U.S. Bank	Financing for redevelopment activities and partial refunding of 1996 and 2000 TABS	Coronado	44,550,994	N				746,934			\$ 746,934
7	Bond Administration	Fees	4/17/1996	9/1/2035	Bondlogistix LLC	Arbitrage Rebate Fees (03, 05, 06 TABS) consolidated with Line #9	Coronado	187,000	N							\$ -
8	Bond Administration	Fees	4/17/1996	9/1/2035	U.S. Bank	Fiscal Agent Fees (1996, 2000, 2003, 2005, 2006 Tabs) consolidated with Line #10	Coronado	212,410	N				7,000			\$ 7,000
11	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Glorietta Bay Master Plan Implementation - City Hall Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	13,538,380	N				7,329,562			\$ 7,329,562
12	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Implement Business Area Development Plan Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	2,263,927	N				1,226,374			\$ 1,226,374
13	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Glorietta Bay Master Plan Improvements and Beach Facilities Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	40,881,697	N				22,132,998			\$ 22,132,998
14	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Library Expansion Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	2,110,856	N				1,142,799			\$ 1,142,799
15	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	GB Master Plan Implementation - Pool Facility Assessment Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	70,601	N				38,223			\$ 38,223
16	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Downtown Streetscape Improvements Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	2,442,028	N				1,322,093			\$ 1,322,093
17	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Beach Facilities - Lifeguard Tower Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	152,911	N				82,785			\$ 82,785
18	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	GB Master Plan Implementation and Library Expansion Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	9,376,655	N				5,032,127			\$ 5,032,127
19	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Hospital OPA & Acquisition, City Capital Projects Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	5,491,334	N				2,969,093			\$ 2,969,093
26	Coronado Hospital	OPA/DDA/Construction	9/6/2005	6/30/2016	Coronado Hospital Foundation	Participation Agreement - FY 2015/16	Coronado	-	Y							\$ -
27	Coronado Hospital	Legal	10/16/2007	10/15/2012	Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, Inc.	Outside legal counsel for project.	Coronado	60,000	N							\$ -
30	Successor Agency Administrative Expenses	Admin Costs	11/15/2005	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Successor Agency administrative obligations relating to maintaining payments on enforceable obligations and other activities as required by AB1X 26 for July to Dec 2014.	Coronado	6,363,000	N					240,000		\$ 240,000
32	Affordable Housing: 406-430 & 445-451 Orange Avenue, 560-566 G Avenue	Property Maintenance	6/3/2008	6/30/2013	McKee Asset Management	Property Management Fees and Operating Expenses	Coronado		N							\$ -
33	Affordable Housing: 840 G Avenue	Property Maintenance	6/3/2008	6/30/2013	Napolitano GMAC Real Estate	Property Management Fees and Operating Expenses	Coronado		N							\$ -
37	Affordable Housing Operations	Legal	10/16/2007	11/14/2013	Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, Inc.	Outside legal services for properties	Coronado		N							\$ -

Coronado Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - ROPS Detail
January 1, 2016 through June 30, 2016
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K					P		
										M						N	O
										Funding Source							
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Retired	Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	Non-Admin	Admin	Six-Month Total		
38	Affordable Housing Operations	Professional Services	10/16/2007	11/14/2014	Rosenow Spevacek Group, Inc.	Consulting Services for properties	Coronado		N						\$ -		
39	Affordable Housing Project Mgmt. Expenses	Project Management Costs	11/15/2005	9/1/2061	City of Coronado	Project Management and Monitoring Costs	Coronado		N						\$ -		
40	Marina	City/County Loans On or Before 6/27/11	6/1/2010	6/30/2023	City of Coronado	Pay down Dock A&B Loan Payment & Debt Service	Coronado		N						\$ -		
41	Bond Proceeds	Bonds Issued On or Before 12/31/10	11/10/2005	9/1/2035	To Be Determined	Low Moderate Income Housing Rehabilitation	Coronado		N						\$ -		
42	Oversight Board Legal Services	Legal	4/24/2012	4/24/2012	Meyers Nave	Legal Services to assist in wind down of former CDA	Coronado	7,745	N					1,000	\$ 1,000		
45	"True up" Demand Payment	Miscellaneous	7/9/2012	7/9/2012	City of Coronado	Reimbursement for Demand Payment paid under protest from Housing Funds	Coronado		N						\$ -		
46	Village Theatre Property Taxes	OPA/DDA/Construction	8/5/2008	5/31/2026	Five Star Theatres Inc.	Reimbursement of Property Taxes per Participation Agreement	Coronado	349,400	N						\$ -		
50	Administrative Loan	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	9/3/2013	6/30/2036	City of Coronado	Administrative Loan for the periods ending December 31, 2013 and June 30, 2014.	Coronado	-	Y						\$ -		
51	Legal Services	Litigation	2/1/2012	6/30/2036	McDougal Love Eckis Boehmer & Foley	Defend Third Pary litigation against Successor Agency regarding case: "The Affordable Housing Coalition of San Diego v. Sandoval, et al." Case No. 34-2012-80001158-CU-WM-GDS	Coronado	41,746	N				25,000		\$ 25,000		
53	Administrative Loan	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	8/19/2014	6/30/2036	City of Coronado	Administrative Loan for the period ending December 31, 2014	Coronado	-	Y						\$ -		
54	Successor Housing Entity Administrative Loan	Housing Entity Admin Cost	2/18/2014	6/30/2036	Successor Housing Entity (The City of Coronado)	Successor Housing Entity Administrative Expenses for the period July 1 through June 30, 2015 per AB 471.	Coronado		Y						\$ -		
55	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Unpaid enforceable obligations for ROPS 1 per Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	76,328,390	N				34,385,028		\$ 34,385,028		
56	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Unpaid enforceable obligations for ROPS 2 per Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	76,328,390	N				35,253,041		\$ 35,253,041		
57	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Unpaid enforceable obligations for ROPS 3 per Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	76,328,390	N				36,141,053		\$ 36,141,053		
58	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Unpaid enforceable obligations for ROPS 13-14A per Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	76,328,390	N				37,019,066		\$ 37,019,066		
59	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Unpaid enforceable obligations for ROPS 13-14B per Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	76,328,390	N				37,897,078		\$ 37,897,078		
60	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Unpaid enforceable obligations for ROPS 14-15A per Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	76,328,390	N				38,775,091		\$ 38,775,091		
61	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Unpaid enforceable obligations for ROPS 14-15B per Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	76,328,390	N				39,653,103		\$ 39,653,103		
62	Debt Service	City/County Loans After 6/27/11	5/29/2012	12/31/2035	City of Coronado	Unpaid enforceable obligations for ROPS 15-16A per Case No. 34-2013-80001694	Coronado	76,328,390	N				40,416,422		\$ 40,416,422		
63	Unpaid Administrative Costs from ROPS 14-15B & ROPS 15-16A	Admin Costs	1/1/2015	6/30/2016	City of Coronado	3% Administrative Allowance of \$2,529,887.73 RPTTF Sequestered for ROPS 14-15B & ROPS 15-16A	Coronado	75,897	N				75,897		\$ 75,897		
64									N						\$ -		
65									N						\$ -		

Coronado Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - ROPS Detail
 January 1, 2016 through June 30, 2016
 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Obligation Type	Contract/Agreement Execution Date	Contract/Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description/Project Scope	Project Area	Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation	Retired	Funding Source					Six-Month Total
										Non-Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (Non-RPTTF)			RPTTF		
										Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	Non-Admin	Admin	
588									N						\$ -
589									N						\$ -
590									N						\$ -
591									N						\$ -
592									N						\$ -
593									N						\$ -
594									N						\$ -
595									N						\$ -
596									N						\$ -
597									N						\$ -
598									N						\$ -
599									N						\$ -
600									N						\$ -
601									N						\$ -
602									N						\$ -
603									N						\$ -
604									N						\$ -
605									N						\$ -
606									N						\$ -
607									N						\$ -
608									N						\$ -
609									N						\$ -
610									N						\$ -
611									N						\$ -
612									N						\$ -
613									N						\$ -
614									N						\$ -

Coronado Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - Report of Prior Period Adjustments
 Reported for the ROPS 14-15B (January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015) Period Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34186 (a)
 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

ROPS 14-15B Successor Agency (SA) Self-reported Prior Period Adjustments (PPA): Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), SAs are required to report the differences between their actual available funding and their actual expenditures for the ROPS 14-15B (January through June 2015) period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved for the ROPS 15-16B (January through June 2016) period will be offset by the SA's self-reported ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by SAs are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller.

ROPS 14-15B CAC PPA: To be completed by the CAC upon submittal of the ROPS 15-16B by the SA to Finance and the CAC. Note that CACs will need to enter their own formulas at the line item level pursuant to the manner in which they calculate the PPA. Also note that the Admin amounts do not need to be listed at the line item level and may be entered as a lump sum.

A	B	Non-RPTTF Expenditures						RPTTF Expenditures										T	RPTTF Expenditures									
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance		Other Funds		Non-Admin					Admin					Net SA Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 15-16B Requested RPTTF)	SA Comments	Non-Admin CAC			Admin CAC			Net CAC Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 15-16B Requested RPTTF)	CAC Comments	
		Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/15)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If K is less than L, the difference is zero)	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/15)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If total actual exceeds total authorized, the total difference is zero)			Net Difference (M+R)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual			Difference
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 76,586	\$ -	\$ 4,571	\$ 4,571	\$ 6,843,459	\$ 6,844,602	\$ 6,843,459	\$ 5,068,583	\$ 1,774,876	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ -	\$ 1,774,876										

Coronado Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - Report of Prior Period Adjustments
 Reported for the ROPS 14-15B (January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015) Period Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34186 (a)
 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

ROPS 14-15B Successor Agency (SA) Self-reported Prior Period Adjustments (PPA): Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), SAs are required to report the differences between their actual available funding and their actual expenditures for the ROPS 14-15B (January through June 2015) period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved for the ROPS 15-16B (January through June 2016) period will be offset by the SA's self-reported ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by SAs are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller.

ROPS 14-15B CAC PPA: To be completed by the CAC upon submittal of the ROPS 15-16B by the SA to Finance and the CAC. Note that CACs will need to enter their own formulas at the line item level pursuant to the manner in which they calculate the PPA. Also note that the Admin amounts do not need to be listed at the line item level and may be entered as a lump sum.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Non-RPTTF Expenditures						RPTTF Expenditures										SA Comments	RPTTF Expenditures						CAC Comments			
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance		Other Funds		Non-Admin					Admin						Net SA Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 15-16B Requested RPTTF)	Non-Admin CAC			Admin CAC			Net CAC Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 15-16B Requested RPTTF)		
		Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/15)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If K is less than L, the difference is zero)	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/15)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If total actual exceeds total authorized, the total difference is zero)			Net Difference (M+R)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available			Actual	Difference
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 76,586	\$ -	\$ 4,571	\$ 4,571	\$ 6,843,459	\$ 6,844,602	\$ 6,843,459	\$ 5,068,583	\$ 1,774,876	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ -	\$ 1,774,876				\$ -			\$ -			

Coronado Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - Report of Prior Period Adjustments
 Reported for the ROPS 14-15B (January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015) Period Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34186 (a)
 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

ROPS 14-15B Successor Agency (SA) Self-reported Prior Period Adjustments (PPA): Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), SAs are required to report the differences between their actual available funding and their actual expenditures for the ROPS 14-15B (January through June 2015) period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved for the ROPS 15-16B (January through June 2016) period will be offset by the SA's self-reported ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by SAs are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller.

ROPS 14-15B CAC PPA: To be completed by the CAC upon submittal of the ROPS 15-16B by the SA to Finance and the CAC. Note that CACs will need to enter their own formulas at the line item level pursuant to the manner in which they calculate the PPA. Also note that the Admin amounts do not need to be listed at the line item level and may be entered as a lump sum.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Non-RPTTF Expenditures						RPTTF Expenditures										SA Comments	RPTTF Expenditures							CAC Comments	
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance		Other Funds		Non-Admin					Admin						Non-Admin CAC			Admin CAC					
		Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/15)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If K is less than L, the difference is zero)	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/15)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If total actual exceeds total authorized, the total difference is zero)		Net Difference (M+R)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference		Net Difference
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 76,586	\$ -	\$ 4,571	\$ 4,571	\$ 6,843,459	\$ 6,844,602	\$ 6,843,459	\$ 5,068,583	\$ 1,774,876	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ -	\$ 1,774,876				\$ -			\$ -	\$ -	

Coronado Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - Report of Prior Period Adjustments
 Reported for the ROPS 14-15B (January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015) Period Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34186 (a)
 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

ROPS 14-15B Successor Agency (SA) Self-reported Prior Period Adjustments (PPA): Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), SAs are required to report the differences between their actual available funding and their actual expenditures for the ROPS 14-15B (January through June 2015) period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved for the ROPS 15-16B (January through June 2016) period will be offset by the SA's self-reported ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by SAs are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller.

ROPS 14-15B CAC PPA: To be completed by the CAC upon submittal of the ROPS 15-16B by the SA to Finance and the CAC. Note that CACs will need to enter their own formulas at the line item level pursuant to the manner in which they calculate the PPA. Also note that the Admin amounts do not need to be listed at the line item level and may be entered as a lump sum.

A	B	Non-RPTTF Expenditures						RPTTF Expenditures										T	RPTTF Expenditures									
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance		Other Funds		Non-Admin					Admin					Net SA Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 15-16B Requested RPTTF)	SA Comments	Non-Admin CAC			Admin CAC			Net CAC Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 15-16B Requested RPTTF)	CAC Comments	
		Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/15)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If K is less than L, the difference is zero)	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/15)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If total actual exceeds total authorized, the total difference is zero)			Net Difference (M+R)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual			Difference
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 76,586	\$ -	\$ 4,571	\$ 4,571	\$ 6,843,459	\$ 6,844,602	\$ 6,843,459	\$ 5,068,583	\$ 1,774,876	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ -	\$ 1,774,876										

Coronado Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - Report of Prior Period Adjustments
 Reported for the ROPS 14-15B (January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2015) Period Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34186 (a)
 (Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

ROPS 14-15B Successor Agency (SA) Self-reported Prior Period Adjustments (PPA): Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), SAs are required to report the differences between their actual available funding and their actual expenditures for the ROPS 14-15B (January through June 2015) period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved for the ROPS 15-16B (January through June 2016) period will be offset by the SA's self-reported ROPS 14-15B prior period adjustment. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by SAs are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller.

ROPS 14-15B CAC PPA: To be completed by the CAC upon submittal of the ROPS 15-16B by the SA to Finance and the CAC. Note that CACs will need to enter their own formulas at the line item level pursuant to the manner in which they calculate the PPA. Also note that the Admin amounts do not need to be listed at the line item level and may be entered as a lump sum.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	
Item #	Project Name / Debt Obligation	Non-RPTTF Expenditures						RPTTF Expenditures										SA Comments	RPTTF Expenditures							CAC Comments		
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance		Other Funds		Non-Admin					Admin						Net SA Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 15-16B Requested RPTTF)	Non-Admin CAC			Admin CAC				Net CAC Non-Admin and Admin PPA (Amount Used to Offset ROPS 15-16B Requested RPTTF)	
		Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Actual	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/15)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If K is less than L, the difference is zero)	Authorized	Available RPTTF (ROPS 14-15B distributed + all other available as of 01/1/15)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference (If total actual exceeds total authorized, the total difference is zero)			Net Difference (M+R)	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual	Difference	Net Lesser of Authorized / Available	Actual			Difference
		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 76,586	\$ -	\$ 4,571	\$ 4,571	\$ 6,843,459	\$ 6,844,602	\$ 6,843,459	\$ 5,068,583	\$ 1,774,876	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ 194,825	\$ -	\$ 1,774,876				\$ -			\$ -			

Coronado Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - Notes
January 1, 2016 through June 30, 2016

Item #	Notes/Comments
	ROPS Worksheet
1	1996 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service.
2	2000 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service.
3	2003 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service - Non Housing.
4	2003 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service - Housing.
5	2005 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service.
6	2006 Tax Allocation Bonds Debt Service.
7	Bond Administration - Arbitrage Fees.
8	Bond Administration - Fiscal Agent Fees.
11	Health and Safety Code 34178(a) provides for re-entry of loan agreements with Oversight Board approval. The Successor Agency and the City re-entered into these loan agreements on May 22, 2012 . The Oversight Board approved, authorized, and ratified the re-entry of these loan agreements on May 29, 2012 and prior to the enactment of AB 1484. On October 29, 2014, the Sacramento Superior Court (a) issued a Judgment holding each of the re-entered loan agreements and loans (including this line item) are enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Laws and issued a Peremptory Writ of Mandate compelling the Department of Finance to recognize the re-entered loan agreements (including this line item) as enforceable obligations (Case No. 34-2013-80001694, City of Coronado vs Michael Cohen). The Department of Finance (DOF) filed an Appeal on November 7, 2014. Per a Court Order on December 26, 2014, the San Diego County Auditor & Controller (SDCAC) set aside the sum of \$940,470 of RPTTF from the January 2, 2015 distribution to the Successor Agency for ROPS 14-15B re-entered loan obligations pending the Appeal. Also, per a separate stipulated Court Order on May 12, 2015, SDCAC set aside the sum of \$1,589,418 of RPTTF from the June 4, 2015 distribution to the Successor Agency for ROPS 15-16A re-entered loan obligations pending the Appeal. Although the DOF dismissed its Appeal, the SDCAC will continue to hold the RPTTF funds pending the outcome of the Motion filed by the City and Successor Agency seeking the release of the SDCAC held RPTTF funds to the Successor Agency and the proper payment amounts of RPTTF via the ROPS on the re-entered loans under the loan agreements. The DOF in its final determination letter of June 3, 2015 for ROPS 15-16A, expressly states that Item Nos. 11 through 19 are no longer denied. Therefore, this line item should be approved by the DOF as an enforceable obligation. The amount approved by the DOF on ROPS 15-16A of RPTTF toward payment of the re-entered loans is disputed by the Successor Agency as the DOF approved significantly less than the amount requested by the Successor Agency as authorized under the re-entered loan agreements. The amount requested on the ROPS 15-16B for this line Item is the accurate amount of the loan due and payable to the City by the Successor Agency pursuant to the reentered loan agreement schedule less the amount of RPTTF approved by the DOF on ROPS 15-16A. Per the Judgment and Writ, the DOF is obligated to approve the use and expenditure of RPTTF in the amount requested for this line item, as required by the re-entered loan agreement. The amount of the re-entered loan due and payable may be reduced by the amount of allocation of funds held by the SDCAC and the DOF approval of prior ROPS payments sought by the Successor Agency on this ROPS 15-16B in Item Nos. 55-58.
12	Same as note #11
13	Same as note #11
14	Same as note #11
15	Same as note #11
16	Same as note #11
17	Same as note #11
18	Same as note #11
19	Same as note #11 - Although this Item # 19 is an enforceable obligation per the Judgment and Writ issued by the Superior Court in Case No. 34-2013-80001694, DOF denied this obligation on the ROPS 15-16A because it stated it did not have the repayment schedule for the loan. The Successor Agency has submitted all documentation of the re-entered loan agreements and Promissory Note for this loan and an amortization schedule. If additional documentation is needed by the DOF to render an approval for this Item # 19, please advise the Successor Agency and it will provide the requested information.
30	Administrative Expenses for January 1, 2016 thru June 30, 2016.
42	As-needed legal services for the Oversight Board.
46	Reimbursement of ad valorem taxes that the SA owes the operator of the Village Theater per the agreement. Increased amounts are due to a property reassessment.
51	Legal expenses related to the affordable housing lawsuit.
55	The enforceable obligation requested is the total amount owed to date (ending June 30, 2012) for ROPS 1 which is Principle and Interest. On October 29, 2014, the Sacramento Superior Court (a) issued a Judgment holding each of the re-entered loan agreements and loans (including this line item) as enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Laws and issued a Peremptory Writ of Mandate compelling the Department of Finance to recognize the re-entered loan agreements (including this line item) as enforceable obligations (Case No. 34-2013-80001694, City of Coronado vs Michael Cohen). The total amount reflects the reduction of the ROPS 15-16A principle payment. DOF had denied Item # 11-19 on the ROPS 13-14B. However, per Court Ruling, these Items are enforceable obligations and must now be repaid.

Coronado Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 15-16B) - Notes
January 1, 2016 through June 30, 2016

Item #	Notes/Comments
56	The enforceable obligation requested is the total amount owed to date (ending Dec 31, 2012) for ROPS 2 which is Principle and Interest. On October 29, 2014, the Sacramento Superior Court (a) issued a Judgment holding each of the re-entered loan agreements and loans (including this line item) as enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Laws and issued a Peremptory Writ of Mandate compelling the Department of Finance to recognize the re-entered loan agreements (including this line item) as enforceable obligations (Case No. 34-2013-80001694, City of Coronado vs Michael Cohen). The total amount reflects the reduction of the ROPS 15-16A principle payment. DOF had denied Item # 11-19 on the ROPS 13-14B. However, per Court Ruling, these Items are enforceable obligations and must now be repaid.
57	The enforceable obligation requested is the total amount owed to date (ending June 30, 2013) for ROPS 3 which is Principle and Interest. On October 29, 2014, the Sacramento Superior Court (a) issued a Judgment holding each of the re-entered loan agreements and loans (including this line item) as enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Laws and issued a Peremptory Writ of Mandate compelling the Department of Finance to recognize the re-entered loan agreements (including this line item) as enforceable obligations (Case No. 34-2013-80001694, City of Coronado vs Michael Cohen). The total amount reflects the reduction of the ROPS 15-16A principle payment. DOF had denied Item # 11-19 on the ROPS 13-14B. However, per Court Ruling, these Items are enforceable obligations and must now be repaid.
58	The enforceable obligation requested is the total amount owed to date (ending December 31, 2013) for ROPS 13-14A which is Principle and Interest. On October 29, 2014, the Sacramento Superior Court (a) issued a Judgment holding each of the re-entered loan agreements and loans (including this line item) as enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Laws and issued a Peremptory Writ of Mandate compelling the Department of Finance to recognize the re-entered loan agreements (including this line item) as enforceable obligations (Case No. 34-2013-80001694, City of Coronado vs Michael Cohen). The total amount reflects the reduction of the ROPS 15-16A principle payment. DOF had denied Item # 11-19 on the ROPS 13-14B. However, per Court Ruling, these Items are enforceable obligations and must now be repaid.
59	The enforceable obligation requested is the total amount owed to date (ending June 30, 2014) for ROPS 13-14B which is Principle and Interest. On October 29, 2014, the Sacramento Superior Court (a) issued a Judgment holding each of the re-entered loan agreements and loans (including this line item) as enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Laws and issued a Peremptory Writ of Mandate compelling the Department of Finance to recognize the re-entered loan agreements (including this line item) as enforceable obligations (Case No. 34-2013-80001694, City of Coronado vs Michael Cohen). The total amount reflects the reduction of the ROPS 15-16A principle payment. DOF had denied Item # 11-19 on the ROPS 13-14B. However, per Court Ruling, these Items are enforceable obligations and must now be repaid.
60	The enforceable obligation requested is the total amount owed to date (ending December 31, 2014) for ROPS 14-15A which is Principle and Interest. On October 29, 2014, the Sacramento Superior Court (a) issued a Judgment holding each of the re-entered loan agreements and loans (including this line item) as enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Laws and issued a Peremptory Writ of Mandate compelling the Department of Finance to recognize the re-entered loan agreements (including this line item) as enforceable obligations (Case No. 34-2013-80001694, City of Coronado vs Michael Cohen). The total amount reflects the reduction of the ROPS 15-16A principle payment. DOF had denied Item # 11-19 on the ROPS 14-15A. However, per Court Ruling, these Items are enforceable obligations and must now be repaid. Total amount of principal and accrued interest requested for this Item # 56 may be reduced if loan repayments are approved and paid for prior ROPS payments requested in Item # 55.
61	The enforceable obligation requested is the total amount owed to date (ending June 30, 2015) for ROPS 14-15B which is Principle and Interest. On October 29, 2014, the Sacramento Superior Court (a) issued a Judgment holding each of the re-entered loan agreements and loans (including this line item) as enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Laws and issued a Peremptory Writ of Mandate compelling the Department of Finance to recognize the re-entered loan agreements (including this line item) as enforceable obligations (Case No. 34-2013-80001694, City of Coronado vs Michael Cohen). The total amount reflects the reduction of the ROPS 15-16A principle payment. However, per Court Ruling, these Items are enforceable obligations and must now be repaid. Total amount of principal and accrued interest requested for this Item # 57 may be reduced if loan repayments are approved and paid for prior ROPS payments requested in Item # 55 - 56.
62	The enforceable obligation requested is the total amount owed to date (ending December 31, 2015) for ROPS 15-16A which is Principle and Interest. On October 29, 2014, the Sacramento Superior Court (a) issued a Judgment holding each of the re-entered loan agreements and loans (including this line item) as enforceable obligations under the Dissolution Laws and issued a Peremptory Writ of Mandate compelling the Department of Finance to recognize the re-entered loan agreements (including this line item) as enforceable obligations (Case No. 34-2013-80001694, City of Coronado vs Michael Cohen). The total amount reflects the reduction of the ROPS 15-16A principle payment. DOF had denied Item # 11-19 on the ROPS 15-16A. However, per Court Ruling, these Items are enforceable obligations and must now be repaid. Total amount of principal and accrued interest requested for this Item # 58 may be reduced if loan repayments are approved and paid for prior ROPS payments requested in Item # 55 - 57.
63	The 3% administrative allowance for the San Diego County Auditor-Controller's sequestered funds for ROPS 14-15B and ROPS 15-16A. Total amount sequestered by the Auditor-Controller is \$2,529,887.73. So, 3% of those funds equals \$75,897.

